arXiv:1206.4177v1 [math.RA] 19 Jun 2012

LEFT DERIVATIONS AND STRONG COMMUTATIVITY PRESERVING MAPS ON SEMIPRIME Γ-RINGS

XIAOWEI XU, JING MA, AND YUAN ZHOU

Abstract. In this paper, firstly as a short note, we prove that a left derivation of a semiprime Γ-ring M must map M into its center, which improves a result by Paul and Halder and some results by Asci and Ceran. Also we prove that a semiprime Γ-ring with a strong commutativity preserving derivation on itself must be commutative and that a strong commutativity preserving endomorphism on a semiprime Γ-ring M must have the form $\sigma(x) = x + \zeta(x)$ where ζ is a map from M into its center, which extends some results by Bell and Daif to semiprime Γ-rings.

1. Introduction

In 1964, Nobusawa [11] had introduced the notion of a Γ-ring, which was extended by Barnes [2] in 1966 so that the quotient Γ-ring of a Γ-ring can be defined reasonably. At present the notion by Nobusawa is called a Γ_N-ring, and the notion by Barnes is called a Γ-ring. A Γ_N-ring is a Γ-ring, and there exists a Γ-ring being not a Γ_N-ring. Barnes [2] has defined a Γ-ring as following: Let M and Γ be two additive abelian groups. If there exists a map $(a, \alpha, b) \mapsto a\alpha b$ of $M \times \Gamma \times M \to \Gamma$ satisfying the conditions

- $(a+b)\alpha c = a\alpha c + b\alpha c$, $a(\alpha+\beta)b = a\alpha b + a\beta b$, $a\alpha(b+c) = a\alpha b + a\alpha c$,
- $(a\alpha b)\beta c = a\alpha(b\beta c)$

for all $a, b, c \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$, then M is called a Γ-ring.

An associative ring R can be seen as a Γ-ring. For example it is pointed out explicitly in [7] that an associative ring R is a Γ-ring with $\Gamma = U$ or $\mathbb{Z}$ where $\mathbb{Z}$ is the ring of integers and U is an ideal (even an additive subgroup) of R. Some properties of Γ-rings as contrast to those of general rings have also been obtained by Barnes [2], Kyuno [9] and Luh [10].

An additive subgroup U of a Γ-ring M is called a left (resp. right) ideal of M if $M\Gamma U \subseteq U$ (resp. $U\Gamma M \subseteq U$). A left ideal U of a Γ-ring M is called an ideal of M if it is also a right ideal of M. The set $Z(M) = \{a \in M | a\alpha b = b\alpha a, \forall b \in M, \forall \alpha \in \Gamma\}$ is called the center of M.

A Γ-ring M is called prime if $a\Gamma M\Gamma b = 0$ with $a, b \in M$ implies $a = 0$ or $b = 0$. A Γ-ring M is called semiprime if $a\Gamma M\Gamma a = 0$ with $a \in M$ implies $a = 0$. The notion of a (resp. semi-)prime Γ-ring is an extension for the notion of a (resp. semi-)prime ring.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16W25, 16N60, 16Y99.

Key words and phrases. prime gamma ring; semiprime gamma ring; left derivation; strong commutativity preserving map.

The paper is supported by the NNSF of China (No. 10871023 and No.11071097), 211 Project, 985 Project and the Basic Foundation for Science Research from Jilin University.

Recall that an additive map δ from a ring R into itself is called a left derivation if $\delta(xy) = x\delta(y) + y\delta(x)$ holds for all $x, y \in R$. In 1990, Brešar and Vukman [6] firstly introduced the notion of a left derivation in a ring and proved that a left derivation of a semiprime ring R must map R into its center.

Similarly an additive map δ from a Γ-ring M into itself is called a left (resp. right) derivation if $\delta(x\alpha y) = x\alpha\delta(y) + y\alpha\delta(x)$ (resp. $\delta(x\alpha y) = \delta(y)\alpha x + \delta(x)\alpha y$) holds for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Naturally the notions of derivations and endomorphisms for rings have extensions for Γ-rings. An additive map μ from a Γ-ring M into itself is called a derivation (resp. endomorphism) if $\mu(x\alpha y) = \mu(x)\alpha y + x\alpha\mu(y)$ (resp. $\mu(x\alpha y) = \mu(x)\alpha\mu(y)$) holds for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Some conclusions concerning maps and identities in (semi-)prime Γ-rings or Γ_N-rings were obtained as a complement of those in (semi-)prime rings. For example we can search some of them in [14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 13, 12, 8, 7].

In 2007, Asci and Ceran [1] discussed the left derivation of a prime Γ-ring and obtained some conclusions on commutativity of a prime Γ-ring. For example it is proved that for a prime Γ-ring M with a nonzero ideal U and a nonzero right derivation d, if $char M \neq 2, 3$, $d^2(U) \subseteq Z(M)$ and $d(U) \subseteq U$, then M is commutative.

In 2009, Paul and Halder [13] proved that a left derivation of a semiprime Γ-ring M must map M into its center under the assumption that $a\alpha b\beta c = a\beta b\alpha c$ holds for all $a, b, c \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$. In 2010, Paul and Halder [14] also considered this problem. In this paper, we get rid of the assumptions in Paul and Halder's Theorems. Particularly we obtain that a prime Γ-ring with a nonzero left or right derivation must be commutative, which extends the conclusions appearing in [1].

For a ring R with $a, b \in R$, the symbol $[a, b]$ denotes $ab - ba$. Similarly in a Γ-ring M with $a, b \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$, the symbol $[a, b]_\alpha$ denotes $a\alpha b - b\alpha a$. For a ring R with $a, b, c \in R$ the commutator formulas $[a, bc] = [a, b]c + b[a, c]$ and $[ab, c] = a[b, c] + [a, c]b$ are very useful in the course of dealing with identities in semiprime rings. But in a Γ-ring M with $a, b, c \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$, the commutator formulas become $[a, b\alpha c]_\beta = [a, b]_\beta\alpha c + b\alpha[a, c]_\beta + b\beta a\alpha c - b\alpha a\beta c$ and $[a\alpha b, c]_\beta = [a, c]_\beta\alpha b + a\alpha[b, c]_\beta + a\alpha c\beta b - a\beta c\alpha b$. The assumption that $a\alpha b\beta c = a\beta b\alpha c$ holds for all $a, b, c \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ can make the commutator formulas appear as $[a, b\alpha c]_\beta = [a, b]_\beta\alpha c + b\alpha[a, c]_\beta$ and $[a\alpha b, c]_\beta = [a, c]_\beta\alpha b + a\alpha[b, c]_\beta$ which is same as those in general rings. However in this paper, all results being proved need not this assumption, which shows that some results can be kept from semiprime rings to semiprime Γ-rings although the basic commutator formulas have to be changed. For convenience $a\alpha c\beta b - a\beta c\alpha b$ is usually denoted by the symbol $a[\alpha, \beta]_c b$ although the form $[\alpha, \beta]_c = \alpha c\beta - \beta c\alpha$ has not any sense.

A map f from a ring R into itself is called strong commutativity preserving (scp) on a subset S of R if $[f(x), f(y)] = [x, y]$ holds for all $x, y \in S$. The notion of a strong commutativity preserving map was first introduced by Bell and Mason [4]. Bell and Daif [3] gave characterization of scp derivations and endomorphisms on onesided ideals of semiprime rings. Brešar and Miers [5] also described scp additive maps on a semiprime ring.

Naturally a map f from a Γ-ring M into itself is called strong commutativity preserving (scp) on a subset S of M if $[f(x), f(y)]_\alpha = [x, y]_\alpha$ holds for all $x, y \in S$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. In this paper, we also obtain that a semiprime Γ-ring with a strong commutativity preserving derivation on itself must be commutative and that the strong commutativity preserving endomorphism on a semiprime Γ-ring M must be the form $\sigma(x) = x + \zeta(x)$ where ζ is a map from M into its center $Z(M)$, which have ever been obtained for semiprime rings by Bell and Daif ([3, Corollary 1] and the results implied by [3, Theorem 3]).

2. Left derivations on semiprime Γ-rings

The method employed in this paper is straightforward computation. Firstly we introduce some remarks.

Remark 2.1. Let M be a Γ-ring and $\delta : M \to M$ a left derivation. Then both $\delta([a,b]_\alpha) = 0$ and $[c,b]_\beta\alpha\delta(a) = a\alpha c\beta\delta(b) - c\beta a\alpha\delta(b)$ hold for all $a,b,c \in M$ and $\alpha,\beta \in \Gamma$.

Proof. Using the definition of a left derivation on a Γ-ring and $\delta((a\alpha b)\beta c) = \delta(a\alpha(b\beta c))$ for all $a,b,c \in M$ and $\alpha,\beta \in \Gamma$, we can obtain the conclusion through the straightforward computation. $\square$

The following simple observation is important for (semi-)prime Γ-rings.

Remark 2.2. Let M be a Γ-ring with $c \in Z(M)$, $a_1,\ldots,a_n \in M$ and $\beta_1,\ldots,\beta_n \in \Gamma$.Then

$$c\beta_1 a_1 \cdots \beta_n a_n = a_1\beta_{\sigma(1)} \cdots a_i\beta_{\sigma(i)} c\beta_{\sigma(i+1)} a_{i+1} \cdots \beta_{\sigma(n)} a_n$$

for all $i \in \{1,\ldots,n\}$ and $\sigma \in S_n$ the symmetric group of degree n.

Proof. It is easy to see that for each $i \in \{1,2,\ldots,n\}$

$$c\beta_1 a_1 \cdots \beta_n a_n = a_1\beta_1 \cdots a_i\beta_i c\beta_{i+1} a_{i+1} \cdots \beta_n a_n$$

since $c \in Z(M)$. So it is sufficient to prove the equation

$$c\beta_1 a_1 \cdots \beta_n a_n = c\beta_{\sigma(1)} a_1 \cdots \beta_{\sigma(n)} a_n$$

for all $\sigma \in S_n$ in order to complete the proof. Now we prove this equation by induction on n. For $n = 1$ the equation is obvious. Set $n > 1$. Suppose that for every $k < n$ the equation holds. If $\sigma(n) = n$, then there exists $\tau \in S_{n-1}$ such that $\tau(i) = \sigma(i)$ for all $1 \le i \le n-1$. By the inductive assumption we get

$$c\beta_1 a_1 \cdots \beta_{n-1} a_{n-1} = c\beta_{\tau(1)} a_1 \cdots \beta_{\tau(n-1)} a_{n-1} = c\beta_{\sigma(1)} a_1 \cdots \beta_{\sigma(n-1)} a_{n-1}.$$

Hence $c\beta_1 a_1 \cdots \beta_n a_n = c\beta_{\sigma(1)} a_1 \cdots \beta_{\sigma(n)} a_n$. If $\sigma(n) \ne n$, then by the inductive assumption and $c \in Z(M)$ we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & c\beta_1 a_1 \cdots \beta_n a_n \\ = \;& (c\beta_{\sigma(n)} a_1 \beta_{j_1} \cdots a_{n-2} \beta_{j_{n-2}} a_{n-1})\beta_n a_n \\ = \;& c\beta_{\sigma(n)} (a_1 \beta_{j_1} \cdots a_{n-2} \beta_{j_{n-2}} a_{n-1} \beta_n a_n) \\ = \;& (a_1 \beta_{j_1} \cdots a_{n-2} \beta_{j_{n-2}} a_{n-1} \beta_n a_n)\beta_{\sigma(n)} c \\ = \;& (c\beta_{j_1} a_1 \cdots \beta_{j_{n-2}} a_{n-2} \beta_n a_{n-1})\beta_{\sigma(n)} a_n \\ = \;& c\beta_{\sigma(1)} a_1 \cdots \beta_{\sigma(n-1)} a_{n-1} \beta_{\sigma(n)} a_n, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. $\square$

Remark 2.2 is important for prime or semiprime Γ-rings although it is easy to prove. For example if a semiprime Γ-ring M has its center nonzero then every commutator formula has a neat form with the help of any nonzero center element, i.e., $d\alpha[a\beta b, c]_\gamma = d\alpha(a\beta[b,c]_\gamma + [a,c]_\gamma\beta b)$ holds for all $d \in Z(M)$, $a,b,c \in M$

and $\alpha,\beta,\gamma\in\Gamma$. Particularly for a prime Γ-ring with its center nonzero every commutator formula has the same form as one in a prime ring. That is $[a\beta b,c]_\gamma = a\beta[b,c]_\gamma+[a,c]_\gamma\beta b$ always holds for all $a,b,c\in M$ and $\beta,\gamma\in\Gamma$ in a prime Γ-ring with its center nonzero. But in general for most prime or semiprime Γ-rings the center is zero. However Remark 2.2 is still useful for a semiprime Γ-ring when proving some results on commutativity even though the center is equal to zero. The following characterization for left derivations in prime or semiprime Γ-rings will make use of this observation.

Theorem 2.3. *A left derivation of a semiprime Γ-ring M must map M into its center.*

Proof. Let $\delta: M\to M$ be a left derivation. By Remark 2.1 we have

$$[c,b]_\beta\alpha\delta(a)=a\alpha c\beta\delta(b)-c\beta a\alpha\delta(b),\ a,b,c\in M,\ \alpha,\beta\in\Gamma. \tag{2.1}$$

Putting $b=[b,d]_\gamma$ in (2.1) and applying Remark 2.1 we obtain

$$[c,[b,d]_\gamma]_\beta\alpha\delta(a)=0,\ a,b,c,d\in M,\ \alpha,\beta,\gamma\in\Gamma. \tag{2.2}$$

Then for all $a,b,c,d,a_1\in M$ and $\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\gamma_1\in\Gamma$

$$[a,[c,[b,d]_\gamma]_\beta]_\alpha\gamma_1\delta(a_1)=a\alpha[c,[b,d]_\gamma]_\beta\gamma_1\delta(a_1)=0. \tag{2.3}$$

Putting $a=a\gamma_1a_1$ in (2.2) and applying (2.3) we get that

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= [c,[b,d]_\gamma]_\beta\alpha a_1\gamma_1\delta(a)+[c,[b,d]_\gamma]_\beta\alpha a\gamma_1\delta(a_1)\\ &= [c,[b,d]_\gamma]_\beta\alpha a_1\gamma_1\delta(a)+[c,[b,d]_\gamma]_\beta\alpha a\gamma_1\delta(a_1)+[a,[c,[b,d]_\gamma]_\beta]_\alpha\gamma_1\delta(a_1)\\ &= [c,[b,d]_\gamma]_\beta\alpha a_1\gamma_1\delta(a)+a\alpha[c,[b,d]_\gamma]_\beta\gamma_1\delta(a_1)\\ &= [c,[b,d]_\gamma]_\beta\alpha a_1\gamma_1\delta(a)\end{aligned}$$

holds for all $a,b,c,d,a_1\in M$ and $\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\gamma_1\in\Gamma$. That is $[c,[b,d]_\gamma]_\beta\Gamma M\Gamma\delta(a)=0$ holds for all $a,b,c,d\in M$ and $\beta,\gamma\in\Gamma$. Hence $[c,[b,\delta(a)]_\gamma]_\beta\Gamma M\Gamma[c,[b,\delta(a)]_\gamma]_\beta=0$ holds for all $a,b,c\in M$ and $\beta,\gamma\in\Gamma$. Then $[\delta(a),b]_\gamma\in Z(M)$ for all $a,b\in M$ and $\gamma\in\Gamma$ since M is semiprime.

Put $a=d\gamma a$ in (2.1) then for all $a,b,c,d\in M$ and $\alpha,\beta,\gamma\in\Gamma$

$$[c,b]_\beta\alpha d\gamma\delta(a)+[c,b]_\beta\alpha a\gamma\delta(d)=d\gamma a\alpha c\beta\delta(b)-c\beta d\gamma a\alpha\delta(b). \tag{2.4}$$

Multiply the two sides of (2.1) with "$d\gamma$" from the left hand side then for all $a,b,c,d\in M$ and $\alpha,\beta,\gamma\in\Gamma$

$$d\gamma[c,b]_\beta\alpha\delta(a)=d\gamma a\alpha c\beta\delta(b)-d\gamma c\beta a\alpha\delta(b). \tag{2.5}$$

Compute (2.4)−(2.5) then for all $a,b,c,d\in M$ and $\alpha,\beta,\gamma\in\Gamma$

$$[c,b]_\beta\alpha d\gamma\delta(a)+[c,b]_\beta\alpha a\gamma\delta(d)-d\gamma[c,b]_\beta\alpha\delta(a)=d\gamma c\beta a\alpha\delta(b)-c\beta d\gamma a\alpha\delta(b). \tag{2.6}$$

Setting $c=b$, $d=[\delta(b),b]_\beta\sigma\delta(b)$ in (2.6), and then applying $[\delta(b),b]_\beta\in Z(M)$ and Remark 2.2 we have that

$$[\delta(b),b]_\beta\sigma[\delta(b),b]_\beta\gamma a\alpha\delta(b)=0$$

holds for all $a,b\in M$ and $\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\sigma\in\Gamma$. That is

$$[\delta(b),b]_\beta\sigma[\delta(b),b]_\beta\Gamma M\Gamma[\delta(b),b]_\beta\sigma[\delta(b),b]_\beta=0$$

holds for all $b\in M$ and $\beta,\sigma\in\Gamma$. Then $[\delta(b),b]_\beta\sigma[\delta(b),b]_\beta=0$ holds for all $b\in M$ and $\beta,\sigma\in\Gamma$. Hence $[\delta(b),b]_\beta=0$ for all $b\in M$ and $\beta\in\Gamma$ since $[\delta(b),b]_\beta\in Z(M)$

the center of the semiprime Γ ring M. Setting $c = \delta(b)$, $d = [d, \delta(b)]_\beta \sigma d$ in (2.6), and then applying $[d, \delta(b)]_\beta \in Z(M)$ and Remark 2.2 we deduce that

$$[d, \delta(b)]_\beta \sigma [d, \delta(b)]_\beta \gamma a \alpha \delta(b) = 0$$

holds for all $a, b, d \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \sigma \in \Gamma$. Similar to proving that $[\delta(b), b]_\beta = 0$ we also obtain $[d, \delta(b)]_\beta = 0$ for all $b, d \in M$ and $\beta \in \Gamma$, which completes the proof. $\square$

Furthermore we will get the result for prime Γ-rings.

Corollary 2.4. *A prime Γ-ring with a nonzero left derivation must be commutative.*

Proof. Let $\delta : M \to M$ be a nonzero left derivation of a prime Γ-ring M. By Theorem 2.3, Remark 2.1 and 2.2

$$[c, b]_\beta \alpha \delta(a) = [a, c]_\beta \alpha \delta(b), \ \ a, b, c \in M, \ \ \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma. \tag{2.7}$$

Putting $c = a$ and applying $\delta(a) \in Z(M)$ we deduce that $[a, b]_\beta \Gamma M \Gamma \delta(a) = 0$ holds for all $a, b \in M$ and $\beta \in \Gamma$. Hence for every $a \in M$ we deduce that either $a \in Z(M)$ or $\delta(a) = 0$. That is $M = \ker \delta \cup Z(M)$ is the union of its two subgroups. Thus M is commutative since $\delta \neq 0$. $\square$

3. Strong commutativity preserving maps on semiprime Γ-rings

The following results (Theorem 3.1 and 3.2) on scp maps have been proved in semiprime rings by Bell and Daif (see [3] for reference in which more general situation were considered). Here we will indicate that some results appearing in [3] also hold in semiprime Γ-rings although the commutator formulas have become complicated.

Theorem 3.1. *A semiprime Γ-ring with a strong commutativity preserving derivation must be commutative.*

Proof. Suppose that M is a semiprime Γ-ring with a strong commutativity preserving derivation δ on M. That is $[\delta(x), \delta(y)]_\alpha = [x, y]_\alpha$ for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Then for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$

$$[x\beta z, y]_\alpha = [\delta(x\beta z), \delta(y)]_\alpha = [\delta(x)\beta z, \delta(y)]_\alpha + [x\beta\delta(z), \delta(y)]_\alpha.$$

Moreover we get that

$$\begin{aligned} & x\beta[z, y]_\alpha + [x, y]_\alpha \beta z + x[\beta, \alpha]_y z \\ = \ & \delta(x)\beta[z, \delta(y)]_\alpha + [\delta(x), \delta(y)]_\alpha \beta z + \delta(x)[\beta, \alpha]_{\delta(y)} z + \\ & x\beta[\delta(z), \delta(y)]_\alpha + [x, \delta(y)]_\alpha \beta \delta(z) + x[\beta, \alpha]_{\delta(y)} \delta(z) \end{aligned}$$

holds for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$. That is for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$

$$x[\beta, \alpha]_y z = \delta(x)\beta[z, \delta(y)]_\alpha + \delta(x)[\beta, \alpha]_{\delta(y)} z + [x, \delta(y)]_\alpha \beta \delta(z) + x[\beta, \alpha]_{\delta(y)} \delta(z). \tag{3.1}$$

Putting $z = z\gamma t$ in (3.1) we obtain that for all $x, y, z, t \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$

$$\begin{aligned} x[\beta, \alpha]_y z\gamma t \ &= \ \delta(x)\beta\Big(z\gamma[t, \delta(y)]_\alpha + [z, \delta(y)]_\alpha \gamma t + z[\gamma, \alpha]_{\delta(y)} t\Big) \\ &+ \ \delta(x)[\beta, \alpha]_{\delta(y)} z\gamma t + [x, \delta(y)]_\alpha \beta \delta(z)\gamma t \\ &+ \ [x, \delta(y)]_\alpha \beta z \gamma \delta(t) + x[\beta, \alpha]_{\delta(y)} \delta(z) \gamma t + x[\beta, \alpha]_{\delta(y)} z\gamma\delta(t). \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Multiplying the two sides of (3.1) by "γt" from the right hand side, and then comparing with (3.2) we deduce that for all $x, y, z, t \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$

$$\delta(x)\beta\big(z\gamma[t, \delta(y)]_\alpha + z[\gamma, \alpha]_{\delta(y)} t\big) + \big([x, \delta(y)]_\alpha \beta z + x[\beta, \alpha]_{\delta(y)} z\big)\gamma\delta(t) = 0. \tag{3.3}$$

Setting $t=\delta(y)$ and $\gamma=\alpha$ in (3.3) we get that for all $x,y,z\in M$ and $\alpha,\beta\in\Gamma$

$$(x\beta\delta(y)\alpha z-\delta(y)\alpha x\beta z)\alpha\delta^2(y)=0. \tag{3.4}$$

Putting $\alpha=\alpha+\gamma$ into (3.4) and applying (3.4) we deduce that for all $x,y,z\in M$ and $\alpha,\beta,\gamma\in\Gamma$

$$(x\beta\delta(y)\alpha z-\delta(y)\alpha x\beta z)\gamma\delta^2(y)=-(x\beta\delta(y)\gamma z-\delta(y)\gamma x\beta z)\alpha\delta^2(y).$$

Then by (3.4) for all $x,y,z\in M$ and $\alpha,\beta,\gamma\in\Gamma$

$$\begin{aligned}&(x\beta\delta(y)\alpha z-\delta(y)\alpha x\beta z)\gamma\delta^2(y)\Gamma M\Gamma(x\beta\delta(y)\alpha z-\delta(y)\alpha x\beta z)\gamma\delta^2(y)\\=-&(x\beta\delta(y)\alpha z-\delta(y)\alpha x\beta z)\gamma\delta^2(y)\Gamma M\Gamma(x\beta\delta(y)\gamma z-\delta(y)\gamma x\beta z)\alpha\delta^2(y)\\=0,&\end{aligned}$$

which implies $(x\beta\delta(y)\alpha z-\delta(y)\alpha x\beta z)\gamma\delta^2(y)=0$ for all $x,y,z\in M$ and $\alpha,\beta,\gamma\in\Gamma$ since M is semiprime. Set $\beta=\alpha$ and $x=\delta(x)$ in $(x\beta\delta(y)\alpha z-\delta(y)\alpha x\beta z)\gamma\delta^2(y)=0$. Then for all $x,y,z\in M$ and $\alpha\in\Gamma$

$$\begin{aligned}&[x,y]_\alpha\alpha z\Gamma M\Gamma[x,y]_\alpha\alpha z\\=\ &[\delta(x),\delta(y)]_\alpha\alpha z\Gamma M\Gamma[\delta(x),\delta(y)]_\alpha\alpha z\\=\ &[\delta(x),\delta(y)]_\alpha\alpha z\Gamma M\Gamma[\delta^2(x),\delta^2(y)]_\alpha\alpha z\\=\ &0,\end{aligned}$$

which shows $[x,y]_\alpha\alpha z=0$ for all $x,y,z\in M$ and $\alpha\in\Gamma$. Then for all $t,x,y,z\in M$ and $\alpha,\gamma\in\Gamma$

$$0=[t\gamma x,y]_\alpha\alpha z=t\gamma[x,y]_\alpha\alpha z+(t\gamma y\alpha x-y\alpha t\gamma x)\alpha z=(t\gamma y\alpha x-y\alpha t\gamma x)\alpha z. \tag{3.5}$$

Putting $\alpha=\alpha+\beta$ into (3.5) and applying (3.5) we deduce that for all $t,x,y,z\in M$ and $\alpha,\beta,\gamma\in\Gamma$

$$(t\gamma y\alpha x-y\alpha t\gamma x)\beta z=-(t\gamma y\beta x-y\beta t\gamma x)\alpha z.$$

Then by (3.5) for all $t,x,y,z\in M$ and $\alpha,\beta,\gamma\in\Gamma$

$$\begin{aligned}&(t\gamma y\alpha x-y\alpha t\gamma x)\beta z\Gamma M\Gamma(t\gamma y\alpha x-y\alpha t\gamma x)\beta z\\=-&(t\gamma y\alpha x-y\alpha t\gamma x)\beta z\Gamma M\Gamma(t\gamma y\beta x-y\beta t\gamma x)\alpha z\\=0,&\end{aligned}$$

which implies $(t\gamma y\alpha x-y\alpha t\gamma x)\beta z=0$ for all $t,x,y,z\in M$ and $\alpha,\beta,\gamma\in\Gamma$. Moreover $t\gamma y\alpha x-y\alpha t\gamma x=0$ for all $t,x,y\in M$ and $\alpha,\gamma\in\Gamma$. Hence for all $t,x,y\in M$ and $\alpha,\gamma\in\Gamma$

$$[t\gamma x,y]_\alpha=t\gamma x\alpha y-y\alpha t\gamma x=t\gamma x\alpha y-t\gamma y\alpha x=t\gamma[x,y]_\alpha.$$

Then for all $x,y,z\in M$ and $\alpha,\beta\in\Gamma$

$$\begin{aligned}&x\beta[z,y]_\alpha=[x\beta z,y]_\alpha=[\delta(x\beta z),\delta(y)]_\alpha\\=\ &[\delta(x)\beta z,\delta(y)]_\alpha+[x\beta\delta(z),\delta(y)]_\alpha\\=\ &\delta(x)\beta[z,\delta(y)]_\alpha+x\beta[\delta(z),\delta(y)]_\alpha.\end{aligned}$$

So $\delta(x)\beta[z,\delta(y)]_\alpha=0$ for all $x,y,z\in M$ and $\alpha,\beta\in\Gamma$. Hence for all $t,x,y,z\in M$ and $\alpha,\beta,\gamma\in\Gamma$

$$0=\delta(x)\beta[t\gamma z,\delta(y)]_\alpha=\delta(x)\beta t\gamma[z,\delta(y)]_\alpha,$$

which implies $[\delta(x),\delta(y)]_\alpha\Gamma M\Gamma[\delta(x),\delta(y)]_\alpha=0$ for all $x,y\in M$ and $\alpha\in\Gamma$. Thus $[x,y]_\alpha=[\delta(x),\delta(y)]_\alpha=0$ for all $x,y\in M$ and $\alpha\in\Gamma$ completes the proof. □

It is implied by [3, Theorem 3] that for a semiprime ring R with an endomorphism T, then T is scp on R if and only if $T(x)=x+\zeta(x)$ for all $x\in R$ where ζ is a map from R into its center. We will show this also holds for semiprime Γ-rings.

Theorem 3.2. *Let M be a semiprime Γ-ring with an endomorphism σ. Then σ is strong commutativity preserving on M if and only if there exists a map $\zeta : M \to Z(M)$ such that $\sigma(x) = x + \zeta(x)$ for all $x \in M$.*

Proof. We will only consider the necessity since the sufficiency is obvious. From $[\sigma(x\alpha z), \sigma(x)]_\alpha = [x\alpha z, x]_\alpha$ for all $x, z \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$ we obtain $(\sigma(x) - x)\alpha[z, x]_\alpha = 0$. Then for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= (\sigma(x) - x)\alpha[y\beta z, x]_\alpha \\
&= (\sigma(x) - x)\alpha[y, x]_\alpha\beta z + (\sigma(x) - x)\alpha(y\beta z\alpha x - y\alpha x\beta z) \\
&= (\sigma(x) - x)\alpha(y\beta z\alpha x - y\alpha x\beta z).
\end{aligned}\tag{3.6}$$

Linearizing α in (3.6) we have that for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$

$$(\sigma(x) - x)\alpha(y\beta z\gamma x - y\gamma x\beta z) = -(\sigma(x) - x)\gamma(y\beta z\alpha x - y\alpha x\beta z).$$

Then by (3.6) for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\sigma(x) - x)\alpha(y\beta z\gamma x - y\gamma x\beta z)\Gamma M\Gamma(\sigma(x) - x)\alpha(y\beta z\gamma x - y\gamma x\beta z) \\
= \; &-(\sigma(x) - x)\alpha(y\beta z\gamma x - y\gamma x\beta z)\Gamma M\Gamma(\sigma(x) - x)\gamma(y\beta z\alpha x - y\alpha x\beta z) \\
= \; &0
\end{aligned}$$

which implies $(\sigma(x) - x)\alpha(y\beta z\gamma x - y\gamma x\beta z) = 0$ for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$. Linearizing x in $(\sigma(x) - x)\alpha(y\beta z\gamma x - y\gamma x\beta z) = 0$ we get for all $x, y, z, t \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$.

$$(\sigma(x) - x)\alpha(y\beta z\gamma t - y\gamma t\beta z) = -(\sigma(t) - t)\alpha(y\beta z\gamma x - y\gamma x\beta z).$$

Then for all $x, y, z, t \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\sigma(x) - x)\alpha(y\beta z\gamma t - y\gamma t\beta z)\Gamma M\Gamma(\sigma(x) - x)\alpha(y\beta z\gamma t - y\gamma t\beta z) \\
= \; &-(\sigma(x) - x)\alpha(y\beta z\gamma t - y\gamma t\beta z)\Gamma M\Gamma(\sigma(t) - t)\alpha(y\beta z\gamma x - y\gamma x\beta z) \\
= \; &0,
\end{aligned}$$

which shows $(\sigma(x) - x)\alpha(y\beta z\gamma t - y\gamma t\beta z) = 0$ for all $x, y, z, t \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$. Then for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$ we obtain both

$$((\sigma(y) - y)\beta z\gamma x - (\sigma(y) - y)\gamma x\beta z)\Gamma M\Gamma((\sigma(y) - y)\beta z\gamma x - (\sigma(y) - y)\gamma x\beta z) = 0$$

and

$$(y\beta(\sigma(x) - x)\gamma z - y\gamma z\beta(\sigma(x) - x))\Gamma M\Gamma(y\beta(\sigma(x) - x)\gamma z - y\gamma z\beta(\sigma(x) - x)) = 0.$$

So both $(\sigma(y)-y)\beta z\gamma x-(\sigma(y)-y)\gamma x\beta z = 0$ and $y\beta(\sigma(x)-x)\gamma z-y\gamma z\beta(\sigma(x)-x) = 0$ hold for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $\beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$. Hence for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ we get

$$[(\sigma(x) - x)\alpha y, z]_\beta = [\sigma(x) - x, z]_\beta\alpha y, \;\; [y\alpha(\sigma(x) - x), z]_\beta = [y, z]_\beta\alpha(\sigma(x) - x)$$

and $[y\alpha z, \sigma(x) - x]_\beta = [y, \sigma(x) - x]_\beta\alpha z$. Then for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= [\sigma(x)\alpha\sigma(y), \sigma(z)]_\beta - [x\alpha y, z]_\beta \\
&= \big([\sigma(x)\alpha\sigma(y), \sigma(z)]_\beta - [\sigma(x)\alpha y, \sigma(z)]_\beta\big) + \big([\sigma(x)\alpha y, \sigma(z)]_\beta - [x\alpha y, \sigma(z)]_\beta\big) \\
&\quad +\big([x\alpha y, \sigma(z)]_\beta - [x\alpha y, z]_\beta\big) \\
&= [\sigma(x)\alpha(\sigma(y) - y), \sigma(z)]_\beta + [(\sigma(x) - x)\alpha y, \sigma(z)]_\beta + [x\alpha y, \sigma(z) - z]_\beta \\
&= [\sigma(x), \sigma(z)]_\beta\alpha(\sigma(y) - y) + [\sigma(x) - x, \sigma(z)]_\beta\alpha y + [x, \sigma(z) - z]_\beta\alpha y \\
&= [x, z]_\beta\alpha(\sigma(y) - y) + [x, z]_\beta\alpha y - [x, \sigma(z)]_\beta\alpha y + [x, \sigma(z) - z]_\beta\alpha y \\
&= [x, z]_\beta\alpha(\sigma(y) - y).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$

$$0 = [(\sigma(y) - y)\gamma x, z]_\beta\alpha(\sigma(y) - y) = [\sigma(y) - y, z]_\beta\gamma x\alpha(\sigma(y) - y).$$

Thus $[\sigma(y)-y,z]_\beta \Gamma M \Gamma [\sigma(y)-y,z]_\beta = 0$ holds for all $y, z \in M$ and $\beta \in \Gamma$. Then $[\sigma(y)-y,z]_\beta = 0$ for all $y, z \in M$ and $\beta \in \Gamma$ completes the proof. □

For prime Γ-rings we get a further result.

Corollary 3.3. *In a noncommutative prime* Γ*-ring* M *the identity map is the unique strong commutativity preserving endomorphism on* M.

Proof. Let $\sigma : M \to M$ be a strong commutativity preserving endomorphism on M. Then by Theorem 3.2 there exists a map $\zeta : M \to Z(M)$ such that $\sigma(x) = x + \zeta(x)$ for all $x \in M$. For all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$

$$x\alpha y + \zeta(x\alpha y) = \sigma(x\alpha y) = \sigma(x)\alpha\sigma(y) = x\alpha y + \zeta(x)\alpha y + \zeta(y)\alpha x + \zeta(x)\alpha\zeta(y). \tag{3.7}$$

Then for $x \in M$ such that $\zeta(x) \neq 0$ we get $\zeta(x)\alpha[x,y]_\beta = 0$ for all $y \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ from (3.7) and Remark 2.2. So $[x,y]_\beta = 0$ for all $y \in M$ and $\beta \in \Gamma$ since M is prime and $0 \neq \zeta(x) \in Z(M)$. That is for every $x \in M$ once $\zeta(x) \neq 0$ we always have $x \in Z(M)$. Now we assume that there exists $x_0 \in M$ such that $\zeta(x_0) \neq 0$ and proceed to obtain a contradiction so that the proof could be completed. We may choose an element $y_0 \in M \backslash Z(M)$ since M is noncommutative. Then $\zeta(y_0) = 0$, i.e. $\sigma(y_0) = y_0$. Putting $x = x_0$ and $y = y_0$ in (3.7) we obtain $\zeta(x_0)\alpha y_0 \in Z(M)$. So $\zeta(x_0)\alpha[y_0,z]_\beta = 0$ holds for all $z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ which means $y_0 \in Z(M)$ a contradiction. □

Next we will give an example showing that there exists a non-identity strong commutativity preserving endomorphism on certain noncommutative semiprime Γ-ring.

Example Let $R = \mathbb{M}_2(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{C}$ where $\mathbb{C}$ is the field of complex numbers. Then R is a noncommutative semiprime ring and $\sigma : R \to R$ such that $\sigma(A,a) = (A,\overline{a})$ for all $(A,a) \in R$ is a non-identity strong commutativity preserving automorphism on R. □

Acknowledgement We would like to thank Professor Paul for sending the paper required by us during preparing this manuscript.

References

1. Asci, M. and Ceran, S., *The commutativity in prime* Γ*-rings with left derivation*, Int. Math. Forum, **2**(2007), 103–108.
2. Barnes, W.E., *On the* Γ*-rings of Nobusawa*, Pacific J. Math., **18**(1966), 411–422.
3. Bell, H.E. and Daif, M.N., *On commutativity and strong commutativity preserving maps*, Canad. Math. Bull., **37**(1994), 443–447.
4. Bell, H.E. and Mason, G., *On derivations in near rings and rings*, Math. J. Okayama Univ., **34**(1992), 135–144.
5. Brešar, M. and Miers, C.R., *Strong commutativity preserving maps of semiprime rings*, Canad. Math. Bull., **37**(1994), 457–460.

6. Brešar, M. and Vukman, J., *On left derivations and related mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **110**(1990), 7–16.
7. Chakraborty, S. and Paul, A.C., *On Jordan generalized k-derivations of semiprime Γ_N-rings*, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc., **36**(2010), 41–53.
8. Hu, X., *Derivations in prime gamma rings*, Thesis (Ph.D.)–North Carolina State University, 1998.
9. Kyuno, S., *On prime Γ-rings*, Pacific J. Math., **75**(1978), 185–190.
10. Luh, J., *On the theory of simple Γ-rings*, Michigan Math. J., **16**(1969), 65–75.
11. Nobusawa, N., *On a generalization of the ring theory*, Osaka J. Math., **1**(1964), 81–89.
12. Ozturk, M.A., Jun, Y.B. and Kim, K.H., *On derivations of prime gamma rings*, Turkish J. Math., **26**(2002), 317–327.
13. Paul, A.C. and Halder, A.K., *Jordan left derivations of two torsion free ΓM-Modules*, J. Phys. Sci., **13**(2009), 13–19.
14. Paul, A.C. and Halder, A.K., *On left derivations of Γ-rings*, Bull. Pure Appl. Math., **4**(2010), 320–328.
15. Sapanci, M. and Nakajima, A., *Jordan derivations on completely prime gamma rings*, Math. Japon., **46**(1997), 47–51.
16. Soyturk, M., *The commutativity in prime gamma rings with derivation*, Turkish J. Math., **18**(1994), 149–155.
17. Wang, D., *On derivations and commutativity for prime Γ-rings*, J. Natur. Sci. Math., **37**(1997), 99–112.
18. Zhang, Y., *Derivations in a prime Γ-ring*, J. Math. Res. Exposition, **11**(1991), 565–568.

Xiaowei Xu: College of Mathematics, Jilin University, Changchun 130012, PR China
E-mail address: `xuxw@jlu.edu.cn`

Jing Ma: College of Mathematics, Jilin University, Changchun 130012, PR China
E-mail address: `jma@jlu.edu.cn`

Yuan Zhou: College of Mathematics, Jilin University, Changchun 130012, PR China
E-mail address: `zhouyuan150630@126.com`